ASP Transition Visit Report



Name of School:	Date of Visit:
	Visiting Team Members Representing
	Association of Christian Schools International and
	Middle States Association
	Team Members
Team Chair:	Title:
Team Member:	Title:

School Profile/Introduction

Provide a brief narrative of the school's profile.

Significant Changes

Briefly identify any major changes in the school since the last accreditation visit that relate to the standards of accreditation. Identify the impact of the change on the school's compliance with the standards of accreditation.

Verification of Standards

After reviewing and confirming the REACH Standards Indicators Checklist and the completed School Indicator Ratings Spreadsheet, briefly provide a description below of any standard with which the school is in partial or non-compliance. Also indicate how major stakeholders were involved in the school's assessment of its compliance with the standards of accreditation.

Previous Major Recommendations and CSIP

For each of the major recommendations issued in the previous visiting team report, provide: 1) a description of how each recommendation was addressed by the school; and 2) a summary statement for each recommendation as to the team's assessment of whether the recommendation was met or not. Provide a summary statement regarding the school's implementation of the CSIP during the preceding term.

Future Major Recommendations

If the school is in <u>compliance with all critical indicators</u>, then major recommendations should not be issued for schools utilizing the ASP protocol. If the school is in <u>partial or non-compliance with a critical indicator</u>, however, the team is required to issue a major recommendation for that indicator.

Is the school in <u>partial</u> or <u>non-compliance</u> with any critical indicators? (check one) Yes No

If <u>yes</u>, use the space below to issue a major recommendation for each critical indicator. Recommendations must be written in accordance with REACH guidelines for major recommendations (see the current REACH Chair and Team Member Handbook). If no major recommendations are issued, leave blank.

<u>Note to the School:</u> If major recommendations are provided above, the school will be responsible for addressing these recommendations and reporting on progress (via the annual report) for the future term of accreditation (pending final approval of this report by the regional accreditation commission).

ASP Project Proposal

Provide a brief narrative for each of the following areas related to the proposed ASP project.

Project Description—Provide a brief (one-paragraph) description of the proposed project.

Suitability of the Project —Describe how the project is suited to the **school's mission and core values**, and addresses areas of the school targeted for **improvement**. Provide an appraisal of the **needs assessment** (surveys, literature reviews, examining best practices) conducted by the school in selecting this project.

Scope of the Project — Describe the proposed project activities and their potential to impact **student learning outcomes** and the **overall culture** of the school. Briefly state how the project might yield knowledge that could have an **impact on Christian education** and inform best practices. **Project Feasibility**—Describe the **institutional support** for the project (including the ASP team composition, support from governance and senior leadership, the working strategy to be used for implementing the project, and the plans for funding the project). Provide an **overall assessment** of the project's feasibility.

Research Design—Provide an overview of how the school will **conduct research** to evaluate the project impact (to include targeted learning outcomes, methodology to be used, and indicators/results that will signal attainment of project goals). Indicate whether the school has developed a **reasonable timeline** for implementation of the research design.

Summary Statements

Provide four summary statements for the following:

1. Overall **preparedness** of the school for the transition from the REACH to the ASP protocol.

2. Team assessment of the proposed ASP project's strengths.

3. Aspects or elements of the ASP project that are in need of **further development**, as identified by the team.

4. Team's **statement of appreciation** to the school.